The membrane anchor of the transcriptional activator SREBP is characterized by intrinsic conformational flexibility
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Regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) is a conserved mechanism crucial for numerous cellular processes, including signaling, transcriptional regulation, axon guidance, cell adhesion, cellular stress responses, and transmembrane protein fragment degradation. Importantly, it is relevant in various diseases including Alzheimer’s disease, cardiovascular diseases, and cancers. Even though a number of structures of different intramembrane proteases have been solved recently, fundamental questions concerning mechanistic underpinnings of RIP and therapeutic interventions remain. In particular, this includes substrate recognition, what properties render a given substrate amenable for RIP, and how the lipid environment affects the substrate cleavage. Members of the sterol regulatory element-binding protein (SREBP) family of transcription factors are critical regulators of genes involved in cholesterol/lipid homeostasis. After site-1 protease cleavage of the inactive SREBP transmembrane precursor protein, RIP of the anchor intermediate by site-2 protease generates the mature transcription factor. In this work, we have investigated the labile anchor intermediate of SREBP-1 using NMR spectroscopy. Surprisingly, NMR chemical shifts, site-resolved solvent exposure, and relaxation studies show that the cleavage site of the lipid-signaling protein intermediate bears rigid α-helical topology. An evolutionary conserved motif, by contrast, interrupts the secondary structure –9–10 residues C-terminal of the scissile bond and acts as an inducer of conformational flexibility within the carboxyl-terminal transmembrane region. These results are consistent with molecular dynamics simulations. Topology, stability, and site-resolved dynamics data suggest that the cleavage of the α-helical substrate in the case of RIP may be associated with a hinge motion triggered by the molecular environment.
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The expression of the cellular machinery responsible for cholesterol and fatty acid biosynthesis is tightly controlled by transcriptional regulation (1, 2). The negative-feedback loop, switching on transcription of target genes upon low fatty acid and cholesterol levels, is achieved via binding of transcriptional activators to the sterol regulatory element. This element is present in promoter regions near encoding genes (3, 4). Key regulators include HMG-CoA reductase, the rate-limiting enzyme in cholesterol biosynthesis and target of statins, the low-density lipoprotein receptor, fatty acid synthase, and other enzymes vital for cellular lipid homeostasis. Consequently, aberrant regulation of these processes is linked to various conditions such as cardiometabolic disorders, inflammatory conditions, and aberrant development. Increased de novo fatty acid and cholesterol production has also been shown to be a hallmark of many human epithelial cancers (5, 6). Sterol regulatory element-binding proteins (SREBPs) are members of the bHLH-Zip transcription factor family using a basic helix–loop–helix–leucine zipper motif but are unique in that they are produced as inactive precursors anchored to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane (3, 7). The SREBP precursor proteins consist of a two-helix membrane anchor, to which the amino (N)-terminal DNA-binding domain, including the transactivation domain (8), and a carboxyl (C)-terminal regulatory domain (CTD) are attached (Fig. 1A) (9). The extensive 31-residue hydrophilic loop linking the two transmembrane (TM) domains projects into the lumen of the ER. SREBP-2 has been shown to control the expression of genes governing the uptake and biosynthesis of cholesterol. Upon starvation of cholesterol, the SREBP-2 precursor is relocated from the ER to the Golgi by COPII vesicle transport. This involves dissociation of Insig1 from SCAP, which is bound to the CTD of SREBP. Site-1 protease (SIP) and S2P, located in the Golgi, in turn exert release of the active transcription factor (2). SREBP-1, which is linked to regulation of fatty acid, phospholipid, and triacylglycerol synthesis, can be activated by retrograde transport of the respective proteases from the Golgi into the ER (10). Cleavage of the SREBP precursor molecule arises in two steps. SIP separates the C-terminal TM helix, linked to the regulatory domain, from the N-terminal TM helix, tethered to the DNA-binding domain, at a cleavage site located within the long connecting loop (11). Subsequent cleavage by S2P occurs via regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) (12) within the TM space to liberate the active transcription factor (see Fig. 1A).

Although the core domain of S2P has successfully been crystallized (13), details of the cleavage mechanism, such as domain mobility, substrate entry, and recognition, remain elusive to date. Despite a number of structures solved recently (14–17), these mechanisms
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are poorly understood for the entire family of RIP proteases, including other important members such as presenilins (16, 18–20) and signal peptide peptidases (15, 21). Apart from regulating SREBP transcription factors, RIP has also been associated with several processes determining cell homeostasis and proliferation, involving Wnt and Notch signaling, β-catenin signaling, and cleavage of the amyloid precursor protein (APP) (22). Accordingly, dysfunction of these proteases plays a role in manifold diseases. All substrates of intramembrane proteases are assumed to be TM α-helices, even though protein helices are considered poor substrates for RIP (23). Proteases typically exhibit extended conformation (24, 25), and side chains bind to the active site for substrate recognition. Currently, it is largely unclear what makes a substrate suitable or unsuitable for RIP and how the lipid environment within the membrane space impinges on these properties (26, 27). For example, γ-secretase, involved in cleavage of the APP and the Notch receptor, has a large number of different substrates, for which only a loose definition of common features can be given (28, 29). In rhomboids, the membrane-integral serine protease relatives of S2Ps, which regulate epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor, has a large number of different members including other important members such as presenilins (16, 18–20) and signal peptide peptidases (15, 21). The S2P-1 anchor topology is expected to differ from helix bundle membrane proteins, in which stable packing is required for physiological function. The SREBP-1 anchor contains no apparent packing motifs and is not predicted to pack by prediction routines. Instead the membrane anchor appears to consist of a combination of two individual membrane-embedded stretches, which are separated by a 31-amino-acid linker. This long luminal linker enables an independent function of the two TM helices after S1P action. Consequently, detergent screens pursued here (SI Appendix, Details) focused on the S2P substrate as truncated after S1P cleavage and were limited to folding, solubilization, and suitability for NMR characterization of this single-TM intermediate.

**Solvent Accessibility in LMPG Micelles.** Fig. 2B depicts the accessibility of the anchor residues to solvent as measured with amide-to-water nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE). The first assigned residue, R487, which is the last residue of the DRRS sequence, still shows clear solvent accessibility. The following stretch until six residues after the conserved NP motif shows no significant NOEs to water, whereas the strong amide-amide NOEs are observed instead (see NOEs). Obviously water accessibility is again observed for the C-terminal linker residues. NOE cross-peaks to lipid hydrogens are found only at the interface between putative lipid-embedded and solvent-exposed residues. Interestingly, the C terminus also harbors some contacts to fatty acid hydrogens in addition to (more intense) water–NOE cross-peaks. This may be induced by contacts to the micelle or transient binding of single detergent molecules to these residues under in vitro conditions. The contacts point to some hydrophobicity of the five C-terminal residues and could impose an amphiphatic effect of the truncated protein. The observation is in line with a temporary interaction of the C-terminal linker residues with the membrane in molecular dynamics (MD) simulations (see Molecular Dynamics). See SI Appendix, Fig. S7 for water/lipid NOE data on a construct including the C-terminal TM helix.

**Secondary Chemical Shifts.** Fig. 2D shows the secondary chemical shifts (SCSs) of Cα, Cβ, and CO as obtained from sequential backbone assignment experiments as well as a TALOS-derived (42) secondary structure. For respective plots including the second helix, see SI Appendix, Figs. S7 and S8. From the first assigned residue, the second Arg of the DRRS motif, we observe a clear α-helical pattern. This continues through the S2P cleavage site until two residues before the conserved NP motif, where reduced helicity is found. The NP motif itself is unassignable due to the absence of the Pro Hδ and the reduced viability of coherences from the conserved NP motif has been shown to abrogate S2P activity; on the other hand, this motif can be shifted up to five positions with little effect on cleavage activity. In work by Ye et al. (38), the region around this Pro has been suspected to induce a straightening of the helix and as such push the cleavage site out of the intramembrane space for proteolytic cleavage. After communication of the S2P X-ray structure (13), which bears an active center within the membrane space (Fig. 1B), this mechanism seems unlikely. Here we describe the topology and stability of the SREBP-1 membrane anchor to obtain information about RIP substrate characteristics as related to lipid regulation by S2P.
Fig. 2. Topology and putative membrane insertion of the SREBP-1 membrane anchor after S1P cleavage. (A) Two-dimensional proton-nitrogen correlation (TROSY) spectrum with assigned residues at 800 MHz 1H Larmor frequency of the complete anchor (M482 to V566). Asterisks mark residues assigned but belonging to the uncleaved N-terminal tag. Crosses mark unsassigned residues. The SS16 peak is below the contours shown in the plot. (Bottom) Gray, light blue, and purple denote unassigned amino acids of the construct, those present, and those absent after S1P cleavage, respectively. (B) NOE contacts of amide protons to water (blue) and aliphatic (fatty acid) protons of the 2P substrate (M482 to L530). Hydrophobic contacts (yellow, orange, and red) are broken up into such methyl and methylene protons with approximate assignments within the chain. (C) Numbers, kind, and peak heights of NOE contacts observed for sequential (i ± 1, blue) and i ± 2 correlations (red) as a qualitative measure of perseverance of secondary structure. Blue (solid) and red (dashed) lines represent the trends (floating average) along the primary sequence for abundance of NOE transfer from direct and longer range contacts, respectively. NOE intensities were corrected for relaxation differences using diagonal-peak intensities shown below. The figure displays resolved peaks only. (D) Experimental SCS values represent differences to random coil values for Cα (blue), Cβ (red), and CO (green) averaged over a tripeptide of adjacent amino acids. For the deuterated cell-free expression, deuterated amino acids Gln, Asn, Cys, and Trp were not available and were used in their protonated form, which often prohibits sufficient signal intensity for sequential assignments of these amino acids. The secondary structure in terms of TALOS+ prediction (42) is depicted below. (E) Topology model of the substrate (black line) concluded from the above data, representing helical (sinoidal) and unstructured regions (stretched) as well as lipid (gray) and aqueous embedding (blue).

NOEs. We characterized the amide-to-amide NOE patterns that the anchor residues show in 15N-edited NOESY experiments. In line with secondary structural characterization by SCs, we find that significant interresidue NOE intensities are present only in the helical region between R487 and G508. In addition, an i – 1 cross-peak is also observed for G511. We exclusively observe |± 1 and |± 2 contacts, as is expected for α-helical domains. Interestingly, also in combination with hydrophobicity and solvent accessibility of the C-terminal residues in the linker, N528 bears a sequential NOE cross-peak to R527 of significant intensity. This confirmed NOE is the only amide–amide contact observed for the solvent-exposed C-terminal part of the anchor. Fig. 2C shows the intensity and kind of NOE cross-peaks to each anchor residue as a function of residue. Intensity data were corrected by the height of the diagonal peak to account for differences in transfer efficiencies and line width. The intensity and number of contacts throughout the helical stretch differ, however a qualitative trend can be derived by fitting the residue-specific NOE abundance over the sequence, using an interpolation of the running average. This approximate trend line, which is depicted in red/blue in the same plot as a qualitative measure of conformational stability, is in agreement with the SCs described above. A lower degree of order is found at the C-terminal end of the lipid-embedded motif, commensurate with the picture obtained from SCs. Lower structural stability is found directly next to the NP motif (with three comparably weak cross-peak totals in the two adjacent amino acids), which is in line with the helix-breaking tendency of Pro residues generally, and interestingly also around position F495. A lower helicity of this site is not suggested by the SCs. However, low structural stability here is also apparent in relaxation experiments (see Backbone Dynamics). Diagonal-peak intensities used for computing the relative NOE cross-peak intensities are plotted in Fig. 2 C, Bottom. The high intensity at the C-terminal residues is due to little longitudinal and transverse relaxation (see also Backbone Dynamics) and is an indication of high mobility. The concluded anchor topology is represented in Fig. 2E.

SCSs as interpreted by TALOS (Fig. 2D) and NOE data were used to generate a topology model from CNS (43) (SI Appendix, Fig. S10). In contrast to the local structural features, however, no concise relative orientation of the upper and lower part of the helical elements could be obtained from paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE) distance restraints (SI Appendix, Fig. S9) in micelles.

Backbone Dynamics. We pursued characterization of backbone dynamics using longitudinal (T1) and transverse relaxation times (T2) of 1H as well as heteronuclear NOE (1H, 15N hetNOE). In addition, we acquired longitudinal and transverse CSA/dipole–dipole 1H–15N cross-correlated cross-relaxation rates (η) and (η). These experiments were recorded on the SREBP-1 membrane anchor as processed by S1P, reflecting the anchor dynamics relevant at the stage of S2P integral-membrane protease cleavage. hetNOE ratios (SI Appendix, Fig. S11A) follow a consistent global trend reflecting the decrease of effective correlation times toward the C-terminal end of the protein. Only a weak decrease in hetNOE values around the NP motif and toward the membrane/solvent interface is observed. The hydrophilic linker increases in flexibility at the C terminus reaching negative hetNOE effects, as is similar for small molecules and intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs). Transverse and longitudinal 15N relaxation (SI Appendix, Fig. S11 B and C) reflects the same overall trend, however with a higher sensitivity to local differences, particularly for T2. Notably, steadily increasing T2 times at the C terminus are opposed by initially decreasing T1 times, which then revert to the same values found for the membrane-integral residues. This behavior resembles characteristics of unfolded polypeptides. Within the TM segment, transverse relaxation rates surpass low rates at F495, which is in line with low amide–amide NOE magnetization transfer (see NOEs). T1 times for this residue are only marginally longer than for the remainder of the membrane-imbedded residues but still represent a local maximum also. We pursued reduced spectral density mapping to obtain the values of the spectral density function at zero, 1H, and 15N frequency |J(0), J(=0), and J(=0) (Fig. 3A–C). J(0) thereby represents the effective correlation time at each protein site, if we assume the spectral density function to be a Lorentzian (44). Its average value amounts to ~8 ns, which is significantly lower than what would be expected for a globular membrane protein of this size in micelles (45). In addition to the highly flexible C terminus, the data confirm the presence of motion in the C-terminal part of the membrane-imbedded anchor region. The J(0) data as a function of residue point to increasing flexibility at the membrane/solvent interfaces and lower amplitude dynamics in the center. The region between the NP motif and the solvent-exposed region deviates from this bell and provides evidence for increased motility also within the
membrane-embedded part. Here $J(0)$ values are halfway in between those in the more rigid N-terminal helical element and those in the neighboring flexible C-terminal loop region. Slightly enhanced motility is also found for the region around the conserved F495, which is six residues upstream of the NP motif. In addition to $J(0)$, also $J(0_{\alpha})$ and $J(0_{\beta})$ values show that the C-terminal loop region is much more flexible than the helical element, but without providing any detail about the motional heterogeneity in the helix. The comprehensive relaxation dataset enabled us to determine the slow motion-derived (chemical exchange) contribution ($\mu$ to ms motions) to the $^{15}N$ transverse relaxation using the Kronke approach (Fig. 3D) (46). These data provide evidence of slow-motional contributions to $^{15}N$ transverse relaxation within the putative membrane-embedded space, as opposed to the linker. Together with the $J(0)$ data, this may indicate that fast and slow motion coexist for part of the lipid-embedded stretch. Fig. 3E represents local relaxation properties mapped onto a topology model of the SIP-processed SREBP-1 membrane anchor as obtained by equilibrating the CNS model in a 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) lipid environment by molecular dynamics (see Molecular Dynamics).

Molecular Dynamics. Using MD simulations, we equilibrated the SREBP-1 anchor structural model obtained from NMR data in micelles (SI Appendix, Fig. S10) into different lipid bilayers and monitored the secondary-structure stability over a period of up to 1 μs as well as the backbone root-mean-square fluctuations (RMSFs) as a function of residue number (Fig. 4B and D and SI Appendix, Fig. S12). In accordance with the NMR data, the stretch around the scissile bond remains $\alpha$-helical and consistently rigid. The C-terminal helical element of the membrane-embedded part also retains its $\alpha$-helical topology, and a hinge motion is observed with respect to the N-terminal helical element, again in full agreement with the NMR data. Remarkably, when we use membranes of lower thickness [1,2-dilauroyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DLPC) instead of POPC lipids; Fig. 4C], we obtain an anchor with a steeper tilt angle (DLPC mean values of 48.3°, fluctuating between ~30 and 80°, opposed to a mean of 24.3°, with fluctuations only between 15 and 35°, for POPC) between the two helical elements, with the NP motif functioning as a hinge. A consistent trend from tilted conformations toward the more linear conformation (for detergent micelles via thin membranes to thick membranes) hints to a stretching effect of the anchor in response to the environment. In addition, slightly different amplitudes of the hinge motion (~17.7° and 8.7° for DLPC and POPC, respectively) are present in the two membranes (Fig. 4B and C and SI Appendix, Fig. S13). Upon replacement of the lipids by water, fluctuations are significantly increased throughout the sequence, however complete unfolding is not observed within the timescale of the simulation (SI Appendix, Fig. S14). SI Appendix, Fig. S15 shows the structural model docked into the S2P structure (Protein Data Bank ID code 3B4R) (13). The open conformation is sufficiently wide to accommodate the substrate in its $\alpha$-helical conformation. This protease–substrate combination is, however, artificial and has to be treated with extreme care.

Hydropathy. We asked if the distinct motional features of the anchor are associated with characteristics on the primary sequence level. We used the European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite (EMBOSS) Pepwindow framework to obtain information...
about the hydrophathy of the sequence. In fact, compared with a series of single-spanning membrane proteins (Fig. 4 A), one obtains a strikingly asymmetric profile for the first helix of the SREBP-1 membrane anchor. In contrast to the N-terminal part of the helix, which accommodates the scissile bond, hydrophathy values between the NP motif and the lipid/cytosol interface are very low and show a continuous, shallow slope. The shallow slope and low hydrophathy values in the C-terminal helical region of the S2P substrate are conserved among different kingdoms and between SREBP-1 and -2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S16).

Discussion

The characterization of the SREBP-1 membrane anchor both by NMR in micelles and by MD in lipid bilayers shows a topology consisting of two membrane-integral α-helical amino acid stretches separated by a flexible, solvent-accessible linker domain with no clear secondary structure. This linker was speculated in the work by Brown and Goldstein and coworkers (11) to be required for sufficient separability of the two helices for S1P action and to facilitate independent migration of the N-terminal part before S2P processing. In accordance with its considerably large expansion well beyond the common loop lengths in helix bundles, the C-terminal linker region indeed turns out to be highly mobile in our studies. Peptide bonds within α-helices are poorly accessible to proteases because of the steric hindrance provided by the framework of helix-stabilizing hydrogen bonds (37). Accordingly, cytosolic proteases normally involve substrates in a β-strand extended conformation rather than relying on the poor cleavage properties of α-helices (24). Our data show that in the case of SREBP-1, similar to what is assumed for the other integral membrane proteases, the enzyme supposedly acts on a generally α-helical substrate. Interestingly, around the scissile peptide bond, the α-helical secondary structure even seems to be exceptionally stable, showing the lowest backbone flexibility throughout the primary sequence of the S2P substrate in terms of SCs, NOEs, and relaxation data, and remains partly folded even upon switching the polarity of the environment in MD simulations. The length of the second helix (∼29 residues) derived from the different experimental data are in the range of known single spanning TM helices. The first helix with only on the order of 22 nonsolvent accessible residues, however, involves significantly fewer residues within the membrane under the same conditions. This may be due to the improved accessibility of the helical topology derived from secondary chemical-shift data and an accordingly stretched conformation around the NP motif. The stretching was originally hypothesized to push the scissile bond out of the hydrophobic space to allow protease cleavage (38), which seemed unlikely after finding the S2P active site within the hydrophobic space (13). Instead, the low helical SCs, low numbers and intensities of sequential amide NOEs, and the local minimum of H/N hetNOE intensity at C500 just before the NP motif in this study strongly suggest this site to act as a helix-breaking motif that reduces local conformational stability. A high level of mobility, starting from just before the NP motif toward the C-terminal solvent interface, also within the membrane-spanning region, goes hand in hand with the interrupted helicity. This mobility, which is obvious from transverse and longitudinal relaxation rates in this element, with a local maximum at C500, is represented by a conformational flexibility as observed in MD simulations. Even though SCs of this element clearly state a reversion to a loose α-helical topology for the remaining residues after the NP motif, the C-terminal integral-membrane part of the S2P substrate represents a structured but still dynamic element. Its dynamics increasing C-terminally and already approaching the flexibility of the solvent-exposed residues near the lipid–cytosol interface as seen by NMR are in line with a flexure of the element using the helix breaker as a hinge.

The lower stability of the C-terminal helical element is paired with a conserved amphipathic primary sequence, which contains the Arg of the NP motif and a Ser, two hydrophilic residues, and may enable flexible accommodation in differentially hydrophobic environments. A tilt of this atypical element away from the remainder of the protein may be of relevance for a facilitated conformational adjustment for uptake by S2P and potentially differential unfolding upon contact with the protease. The bent conformation might also expose the polar Asn residue, which may be relevant for an initial interaction with S2P. Certainly, as derived from the different tilt angles in MD simulations of different membrane thickness, the flexure of the S2P substrate and all derived consequences will be directly influenced by the characteristics of the lipid membrane. Work by Walker et al. concluded from cell biological studies on Caenorhabditis elegans previously that membrane composition and curvature play a significant role for SREBP-1 cleavage in vivo, which could be related to the SREBP flexing (10).

In between the S2P cleavage site and the more mobile TM region toward the C terminus of the helix, F495 constitutes another site of enhanced mobility. For this region, we find significant contributions from slow-motional dynamics, as known from conformational preselection-type mechanisms. Whereas the functionally indispensable NP motif induces a required interruption of the α-helical conformation, the cleavage site seems completely buried before getting in contact with the protease and should require effective chaperone-like activity of the protease to unfold. This is in accordance with ample space in the S2P open conformation to accommodate the substrate in an α-helical (extended, extended) conformation. A similar picture has been obtained for APP, in which a flexible, bent TM domain might serve to most effectively interact with the protease (29). The TM region C-terminal to the hinge, however, is characterized by its more ambiguous hydrophathy properties and the connection to the NP motif on one side and the highly mobile linker on the other. These destabilized residues in the C-terminal TM regions are thus likely to be unfoldable more easily in various conditions. Thus, apart from their tilt away from the NP motif, a partial unwinding of these residues might exist to further facilitate entry of the otherwise helical anchor into the protease. This could then exert any necessary unfolding of the internalized scissile bond by specific chaperone-like mechanisms.

For SREBP cleavage by S2P in the cell, quantitative details (dynamics time scales and amplitudes) and participants (constituting specific functions or crowding effects) will differ from the situation characterized under in vitro and in silico conditions. Still, the peculiar mechanical flexibility of the anchor is an intrinsic molecular property; thus, the observed flexure can well be expected to represent a significant feature of the substrate in vivo.

To summarize, the transcriptional activator SREBP regulates expression of machinery for cellular lipid and cholesterol homeostasis. A crucial step toward transcriptional activation, the liberation of the transcriptionally active regulatory domain, requires regulated intramembrane cleavage of the SREBP membrane anchor by S2P. Using solution NMR spectroscopy and molecular dynamics simulations, we find that the topology of this anchor is made up of a C-terminal regular TM helix, a long flexible linker, and an N-terminal TM helix. The substrate of the S2P cleavage, the anchor processed by S1P, bearing only the N-terminal TM domain and most of the linker, shows interrupted helicity at an evolutionarily conserved motif two-thirds down toward the linker. Whereas the clearly α-helical region bearing the scissile bond shows extraordinary rigidity, the lower elements of the TM domain, particularly the C-terminal helical element between the helix-breaking motif and the lipid-solvent interface, show reduced structural stability. The mobility of the residual linker outside the hydrophobic space increases to an extent comparable with IDPs C-terminally and is accompanied by fast-motional dynamics as well as chemical exchange within the destabilized TM region. Owing to the helix-breaking motif, which acts as a hinge in the S2P substrate, the substrate seems to be able to undergo conformational changes dependent on its molecular environment. In accordance with differential cleavage probabilities of SREBP-1 for different membranes reported previously, the conformational changes
observed in vitro and in silico may be relevant for the initiation and general feasibility of RIP cleavage.

Materials and Methods

The deuterated, $^{13}$C/$^{15}$N-labeled membrane anchor of the S2P substrate was expressed using cell-free expression. The purified protein was associated into LMPG micelles. Samples were investigated by solution NMR at 800 MHz Larmor frequency. Established methodology was used for assignments and for characterization of topology and dynamics. MD simulations were performed in either POPC or DLPC lipid bilayers. Further details on the methods are provided in SI Appendix.
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The Membrane Anchor of the Transcriptional Activator SREBP is Characterized by Intrinsic Conformational Flexibility

by Rasmus Linser, Nicola Salvi, Rodolfo Briones, Petra Rovó, Bert L. de Groot, and Gerhard Wagner

Experimental:

Protein expression

Expression of the SREBP membrane anchor was achieved using cell-free expression of a pIVEX4d vector encoding amino acids M482 to V566 (full-length anchor) or to L530 (anchor as processed by S1P). Constructs without and with an N-terminal His8 tag with factor X, TEV, and Thrombin cleavage sites were generated. Cell-free reactions were set up in accordance to the protocol by Schwarz et al. (1) using an A19 E. coli S30 cell extract and deuterated algal amino acid mix obtained from Sigma Aldrich or Cambridge Isotopes in the case of triply- (2D, 13C, 15N) and doubly (2D, 15N) labeled amino acids, respectively, recombinantly expressed T7 RNA polymerase and pyruvate kinase purchased from Sigma Aldrich. We used single amino acids Gln, Trp, Cys, and Asn in an either doubly (13C, 15N) labeled or unlabeled form. One sample employed combinatorial labeling (Cys, Asn, Leu doubly 13C, 15N labeled in combination with a doubly (2D, 15N) labeled algal amino acid mix. Batch expression yielded on the order of 10 mg protein per 3 ml reaction mixture (using 3 ml slide-A-lyzers in a Petri dish containing 30 ml feeding mixture).

Cell-free expression pellets were centrifuged (30 min, 14 krpm) and washed twice by resuspension in 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT and 1/100 protease inhibitor (buffer A).

Spontaneous refolding of the peptide in lyso myristoyl glycero-phosphoglycerol (LMPG) micelles was achieved by infinite dilution (1:20 volume ratio) from a guanidium solution into 5% LMPG in buffer A, using 5-fold LMPG excess with respect to protein (m/m). Typically, 1 ml of 20 mg protein-containing buffer was refolded by dropwise dilution into 20 ml buffer A containing 100 mg LMPG. After buffer exchange with 0.08 % LMPG, pH 8.5, 5 mM β-ME, 20 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl and 1/100 protease inhibitor, by three times concentration to 3.5 ml and redilution to 15 ml using Amicon centricons with a cutoff of 10 kDa, Talon resin (8 ml per 20 mg protein) was loaded by very slow passage of the solution, extensive wash with the same buffer and elution with the same buffer in the presence of 500 mM imidazole. Sample quality obtained upon reconstitution trials into nanodiscs or bicelles were insufficient for the requirements of subsequent NMR studies.

Even though the cell-free expressed protein could be purified to sufficient purity also without the use of histidine tags and metal-affinity chromatography, expression of
constructs obtained from deletion of the N-terminal histidine tag in pIVEX vectors resulted in approximately fivefold less yield compared with constructs bearing the optimized pIVEX4d N-terminal residues. Cleavage of the tag could be achieved when using a Thrombin cleavage site including a GSGS linker between this site and the anchor. This protease cleavage, however, was omitted for most preparations since, due to star activity of Thrombin, the benefits from spectral simplification did not outweigh the protein loss incurred, particularly after completion of resonance assignment.

The final NMR sample in a Shigemi tube was obtained by buffer exchange against PBS (pH 6.3, with 5 mM DTT and 1/100 protease inhibitor) and concentration to 280 µl using spin concentrators of decreasing size.

S-(1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)methyl methane-sulfonothioate (MTSL) spin-labeled single-cystein mutants were produced using Ser to Cys mutants at positions S486 and S499 upon mutation of other Cys residues to Ala. Subsequent reduction of the spin label was pursued using 1 mM ascorbic acid.

Spectroscopy
All NMR experiments were recorded at 37 °C on Bruker spectrometers of 800 or 600 MHz Larmor frequency and Avance III consoles equipped with Topspin 3.2 or a 750 MHz Bruker spectrometer with an Avance III console and Topspin 2.1. Additionally, a 500 MHz Varian spectrometer with a cryprobe and a 500 MHz Bruker spectrometer with a room temperature probe were used initially to support biochemistry and for screening of NMR conditions. For resonance assignments, HNCA, HNCAcB, HNCO, and HNCOCA experiments and 15N-edited NOESY experiments were recorded on various deuterated, 15N, and 13C-labeled full-length and S1P-shortened (only HNCAcB and HNCO) SREBP anchor constructs, using standard or non-uniform sampled acquisition. 15N-edited NOESY experiments were recorded using 90 ms NOESY mixing time on both constructs at 800 MHz.

Apart from most residues of N-terminal His8 tags and their cleavage sites in uncleaved NMR samples, we could unambiguously assign 38 amino acids (85 % of all non-Pro residues) of the SP2 substrate, and 60 amino acids (78 % of all non-Pro residues) of the two-TM helix membrane anchor, see Figure 2A. The first five N-terminal residues, which include an S2P recognition site and are predicted to be flexible, non-membrane-embedded residues, could not be assigned, presumably due to fast H N exchange with solvent. The remainder of those residues unassigned proved impossible to identify due to fully protonated amino acids in the case of Gln, Trp, Cys, and Asn in combination with the long tumbling correlation times of the membrane protein constructs in a micellar environment. In the case of the S1P-unprocessed anchor, a large stretch within the second helix (Q541 – N553) could not be assigned unambiguously even upon partial use of combinatorial labeling, probably due to the degenerate chemical shifts of repetitive Leu or Val residues in addition to several Pro or non-deuterated (NCWQ) residues, which were generally not observable (QWLLPPVVWLLN).

Dynamics experiments (hetNOE (steady-state NOE using 3 s 1H irradiation times), 15N T1, and 15N T2, on the shortened anchor only) were recorded at 800 MHz. All NMR data were processed using Topspin or NmrPipe (2) (for non-uniform sampled experiments) and further used in CCPNmr Analysis (3), Microsoft Excel, and Pymol (4). NOE data as
well as transverse and longitudinal relaxation rates were used to perform reduced spectral density mapping in Relax (5). Cross-correlated cross-relaxation experiments were performed using the methods of Pelupessy et al. (6, 7) The spectra were processed in NmrPipe, and peak intensities extracted using CCPNmr Analysis were used to calculate the cross-relaxation rates using self-made scripts in Python. General graphical illustration was pursued using Adobe Illustrator.

Preliminary structural models were obtained using chemical shifts (TALOS+) (8), NOE, and PRE data in combination with CNS (9).

**Molecular dynamics simulations (MD)**

MD simulations and analysis were performed with the Gromacs 5.0 (10) package. The starting peptide structure as obtained from CNS based on secondary-structure restraints and NOEs was inserted in equilibrated POPC, DLPC lipid bilayers using g_membed (11). It was also inserted in a pure water box (without bilayers). The solvent and the membranes were equilibrated around the peptide for 200 ns, keeping the peptide heavy atoms restrained, using a force constant of 1000 kJ·mol⁻¹·nm². The pressure was kept constant at 1 bar (Berendsen pressure coupling (12)) and the temperature was 37 °C (velocity rescale thermostat (13)). A Lennard-Jones cutoff of 1.0 nm was used and PME (14) for long-range electrostatics. The integration timestep was 2 fs. LINCS (15) and SETTLE (16) were used to constrain the bonds of molecules and water, respectively. The SPCE water model was used, together with the Amber99sb-ILDN (17) forcefield for the protein and the Cordomi (18) United Atoms forcefield for POPC lipids and the Stockholm lipids port for DLPC (19). For the production run, simulations of 1 µs each were run for the peptide in POPC, DLPC and water. Root mean square fluctuations (RMSF) of the backbone atoms were calculated after fitting the trajectories to the backbone of amino acids 5 to 20 or 10 to 20. The secondary structure of the peptides in the simulations were estimated using DSSP (20). The hinge angle of the helical parts around the NP motif was calculated in each trajectory excluding the first 100 ns. The Cα of residues 487, 488 and 498, 499 for the first helical part (before NP) and the Cα of residues 502, 503 and 505, 506 for the last helical part (after the NP) were used to define the helix vectors. The relative extent of different types of secondary structure and RMSF over time were extracted from equidistant snapshots spaced by 0.4 ns using the Amber99sb-ILDN force field.

Circular Dichroism spectroscopy was pursued using a Jasco J-815 spectrometer.

**Bioinformatic analysis**

Helix packing prediction was pursued using psypred MEMPACK (21). In accordance with the hydrophobic helix surfaces lacking a zipper or other common packing sequences, helix packing prediction yielded no or multiple, poorly defined, and contradictive interaction surfaces. Only one (conserved) polar residue (Asn353) can be found in the second helix, whereas the first helix of SREBP1 contains two polar residues (Ser and Thr) near the cleavage site. Even though a stable interaction between the two helices is unlikely also in the physiological case, at least temporary contacts are likely and cannot be excluded by the in-vitro data. Such an interaction, however, seems of low significance generally and for the analysis here, since the crucial step of S2P proteolysis occurs with the S1P processed
anchor. Consequently, we are here focusing on this S1P-cleaved S2P substrate in this work, which bears only the N-terminal helix.

Primary sequences from arbitrarily selected single-TM proteins (22) for hydropathy plots were obtained from Uniprot. We selected non-precursor (non-RIP-substrate) proteins exclusively for the comparison: the transport protein comB (P36498), the disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain-containing protein 10 (ADAM10, Q10741), and the mast cell surface glycoprotein Gp49A (Q61450). Hydropathy characteristics (Kyte and Doolittle (23)) were determined using the EMBOSS Pepwindow framework (24). Topology was predicted using the JPRED prediction software (25).
Figure 1: JPRED prediction of amino acid TM regions as in Figure 1 in the Main text, but using only the fragment shown as an input for the routine (brown: helix and less than 25% accessible to solvent, blue: random coil and more than 25% solvent accessible, yellow: coil and less than 25% accessible). No β-strand is predicted near the S1P cleavage site.

Figure 2: Typical outcome of SREBP purification. A) Metal affinity chromatography of the refolded cell-free SREBP full-length anchor precipitate. Lines I to VII represent throughflow, wash fractions with 0 and 20 mM imidazole, and 4 elution fractions of 1 CV each on gravity-column Nickel resin. Fraction VIII shows an (incomplete) Thrombin cleavage at room temperature. In later stages of the project, Talon resin was used rather than Nickel beads due to better compatibility with reducing agents. B) Monodisperse profile of the anchor in size exclusion chromatography on an analytical S200 column. The use of SEC was observed to provide hardly any sample improvement and was usually omitted in later stages of the project.
Figure 3: Detergent screens at 500 MHz proton Larmor frequency. Shown are spectra of the full-length SREBP anchor expressed without a histidine tag recorded in lyso myristoyl glycerophosphoglycerol (LMPG), dihexanoyl-glycero-phosphocholin (DHPC), dodecyl phosphocholin, and lauryldimethylamine oxide (LDAO) in 7 h each. The spectrum in LMPG was recorded using a 600 mM sample and a room temperature probe, whereas the others were recorded on 200 mM samples using a spectrometer equipped with a cryoprobe.
**Figure 4:** Circular Dichroism (CD) spectra of the SREBP membrane anchor. **A** shows the anchor as shortened by S1P consisting of one TM helix and most of the linker. **B** shows the anchor construct including both TM domains. Here, the $\alpha$-helical content is expectedly stronger than in A). LMPG concentrations amount to 0.03 % and 0.05 % for A) and B), respectively.
Figure 5: HSQC spectra with assigned residues at 800 MHz proton Larmor frequency. A) SREBP anchor as shortened by S1P, containing residues M482 to L530, B) complete anchor (M482 to V566). Asterisks mark residues assigned but belonging to the uncleaved N-terminal tag. Crosses mark unassigned residues. The S516 peak is below the contours shown in the plot.
Figure 6: Overlay of spectra recorded on the full-length anchor (gray) and a single-cysteine mutant of the full-length anchor with an MTSL spin label just above the first transmembrane helix (magenta). The second-helix residues (bold) are not affected by paramagnetic attenuation, indicating the expected absence of packing interactions between helix 1 and 2. This behavior found in detergent micelles in vitro is expected in the physiological case in the course of transcriptional signaling, where the particularly long linker between the helices and S1P cleavage enable their independent traveling.
Figure 7: Secondary chemical shifts and water accessibility of the uncleaved SREBP anchor as in Main Manuscript Figures 2B and C. A long stretch in the second helix was unassignable due to sensitivity restrictions induced by the need for protonated C, W, Q, N amino acids upon otherwise deuterated cell-free expression.

Figure 8: Secondary chemical structure as predicted by TALOS+. (8) The y-axis shows the prediction probability for different types of secondary structure based on $^1$H$^N$, $^{15}$N$^H$, $^{13}$C$^\alpha$, $^{13}$C$^\beta$, and $^{13}$CO. Red, beige, and gray colors represent helix, coil, and strand. White areas denote such strips where assignments could not be achieved.
Figure 9: MTSL spin-labeled single-cystein mutants of the SREBP anchor as shortened by S1P. The plots represent samples with the spin label attached to S486C (A) and S499C (B), respectively, as indicated by orange arrows. Reduction of the spin label was achieved with 1 mM ascorbic acid in the same sample and buffer exchange into PBS. Scaling of the peak intensities was pursued as to reflect the signal with respect to the average peak height of observable signals (1 unit). The shaded region depicts integral membrane space as deduced from water accessibility data. Only resolved and unambiguous peaks are shown.
Figure 10: Structural models of the SREBP membrane anchor in micelles, derived from (A) TALOS+ restraints and amide-amide NOEs only, and (B) TALOS+ and NOEs restraints in wild-type protein plus PREs of two MTSL-labeled single-cystein mutants in LMPG micelles. Shown are the lowest-energy structures of 100 structures from CNS. PREs are not able to effectively restrain the bundle due to the relative flexibility of the two helical parts to the spin label and the small size of the protein. Only the lipid-embedded part of the anchor is shown here for clarity, whereas the linker region is flexible and samples a large conformational space. Accordingly, only PREs of non-water accessible residues were considered in B). C) One of the lowest energy structures of the ensemble in micelles including flexible residues and the approximate water boundaries. (Grey and blue colors approximate the micelle and aqueous space, respectively.

Figure 11: Raw data for backbone dynamics of the S1P-processed membrane anchor. A) Heteronuclear Overhauser effect (hetNOE), B) transverse relaxation rates $R_2$, C) longitudinal relaxation rates $R_1$, D) longitudinal cross relaxation ($\eta_z$) and E) transverse cross relaxation ($\eta_{xy}$) of $^{15}$N. See above for information about acquisition experimental details. The S1P and S2P cleavage sites are marked red, the NP motif depicted in green. The background color of the plots denotes the position of the amino acids as membrane imbedded (beige) or solvent-exposed (blue). Determination of relaxation parameters involves only dispersed peaks in all experiments. In addition, the Ser and Thr-rich solvent-exposed C terminus is largely exchange-broadened below detection in the $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation experiments.
Figure 12: Secondary-structural stability in Molecular-Dynamics simulations as shown in Figure 4 of the Main Manuscript. A) SREBP-1 equilibrated in DLPC membranes. B) SREBP-1 equilibrated in membranes with exchange of the environment against water. Random coil, β-strand, and α-helical structure are represented as black, green, and open red bars, respectively. The relative extent of the different types of secondary structure over time were extracted from Amber calculations over 760 and 900 ns in A) and B), respectively, with equidistant snapshots spaced by 0.4 ns.

Figure 13: Fluctuations of the hinge-motional angle of the SREBP anchor in MD simulations using an Amber99sb-ILDN force field. The green, blue, and red curves signify the angle measured between the first and second helical part above and below the hinge motif in DLPC, POPC, and water environment, respectively. The hinge angle is defined here as the angle between two vectors in the helix direction, i. e. i) the vector connecting the mean between Cα of residues 487 and 488 with the mean between Cα of 498 and 499 and ii) the vector connecting the mean between Cα of residues 502 and 503 with the mean between Cα of residues 506 and 507.
Figure 14: Resilience of the SREBP-1 scissile bond to unfolding in MD simulations in a polar environment. The anchor was equilibrated in membranes with lipids subsequently exchanged against water. The calculations were run using an Amber (A, B) and a c36 tip3p force field (C, D) over 900 and 1000 ns, respectively. A, C show equidistant snapshots spaced by 0.4 ns, B and D depict root-mean-square fluctuations as a function of residue. For alignment, residues 487-502 (black curves) or 492-502 (red curves) were used.
Figure 15: Clusterpro (http://cluspro.bu.edu/protein-protein docking) docking of the NMR structure of the human SREBP-1 membrane anchor (rainbow colored) into the open conformation (PDB entry 3B4R, Chain A) of *methanocaldococcus jannaschii* S2P (gray). (26) Unstructured parts of SREBP were excluded to accelerate the docking procedure. The cleavage site of the substrate (L490-C491) is depicted as cyan sticks, the N501-P502 helix breaker motif is shown as orange sticks. Some of the docking poses (three of which are depicted) fit reasonably well within the space of the open mjS2P (i.e., orientation and distance of the cleavage site with respect to the active-site Zinc, shown as a gray sphere). At least initial binding of SREBP could involve an α-helical conformation, owing to ample space in the mjS2P binding pocket. The scissile peptide bond might still be unfolded by chaperone activity of the enzyme in a second step and cleaved in an extended β-strand conformation, as it would be for protease cleavage in soluble proteases. These docking results (for an artificial enzyme-substrate combination), however, need to be treated with caution.
Figure 16: Comparison of sequence-specific hydropathy between different SREBP anchors. The characteristic tilt in the profiles is conserved among different kingdoms as well as between SREBP variants. SREBP-1 is depicted as taken from human, mouse, and drosophila, SREBP-2 is shown as the human form. The lower plot denotes the transmembrane domain.
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